

CAR005-05



ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

MIKE HUCKABEE, GOVERNOR Marcus C. Devine, Director

Solid Waste Management Division, Recycling Branch

SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING GRANTS
STATE FISCAL YEAR 2005 APPLICATION FORM

	Ann Pool			
Con	tact Person (This person must be ava	ilable to answer questions re	egarding this grant.)	
P. O	Box 300, 115 Jefferson Street	Lonoke	Lonoke	72086
Add	ress	City	County	Zip
(_5(01) 676-2721	501-676-5020	leigh.pool@	arkansas.gov
(Code Telephone	Fax	E-	mail
Gra	ant Category: Check One			
Gra	Administrative		aterial Recove	ry Facility
Gra		nt R		ry Facility
Gra	Administrative Composting Equipmer	M R Sp	aterial Recove ecycling Equip	ry Facility ment
Gra	Administrative Composting Equipmer Specify type	nt R	aterial Recove ecycling Equip	ry Facility ment nning

- Project Description All grant application, including administrative, must include a detailed project description. At minimum, answer the following:
 - 3.1 The projects goals and how the project will be conducted (such, as how will materials be collected and marketed, and how public awareness of the project will be increased).
 - 3.2 What items are/will be recycled.
 - 3.3 Project location and population served by the proposed project (whom do you expect to participate in the program?)
 - 3.4 The days and hours of operation. (If funding equipment, how many days/hours will it be used for the project?

Grant Number	-
CAR00-05	

4.0	Admi 4.1	nistrative Requirements Does the applicant hold current environmental permits required for this project?					
		Yes	No	No, but have appli	ied	Not Applicable. xx	
	4.2			erves the project are ion of services.	a, provide	justification why this	
	4.3	project for the show that ade maintenance of	ne purpose(quate revenue of a grant-func		pplication? support the as equipmen	? (Grant recipients must long-term operation and at maintenance and	
		Yes xx	No				
	4.4	Projected be	eginning da	te <u>10/1/05</u>			
	4.5	Projected co	ompletion d	ate <u>9/30/06</u>		<u> </u>	
	4.6	Attach comp	oleted Budg	et, Appendix A			
	4.7	Attach signe	ed Minimum	Conditions of Gran	its, Append	dix B	
	4.8	grant reques general circula submission of	st. (A descrip ation in the an the grant app	ea affected by the projec	al must be in ct a minimun ide a solicita	serted in a newspaper of	

Ensure that Section 5.0 Signature and Certification is completed and accompanies

each grant application.

Gr	ant	Nui	nb	er
	CA	R00-05		

5.0 Signature and certification

The authorized representative of the organization applying for the grant and the Regional Solid Waste Management Board Chairman both must sign the application. The authorized representative must have the authority to obligate the applicant's resources.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this application and its attachments is correct and true. I understand and agree that if grant money is subsequently awarded as a result of this application, I will comply with all applicable statutory provisions and with applicable terms, conditions, and procedures of the ADEQ grant program. I certify that all proposed activities will be carried out and that all grant money received will be utilized solely for the purposes for which it is intended unless written authorization is provided by the Regional Solid Waste Management District and the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality.

Rodney Karser	1	
Signature of Applicant's Authorize	ed Representative	. / /
Title	Telephone Number	9/8/05 Date
Buth Co		
Signature of RSWMD Board Cha	irman	- /
Judge Butch Calhoun		9-8-05
Print name		Date
THE ABOVE-REFERENCED GRANT	IS HEREBY APPROVED.	
Signature of ADEQ Recycling Brown	anch Manager	10/07/05 Date
Steve Marti		10/07/05
Signature of ADEQ Solid Waste	Management Division Chief	Date

APPENDIX A -- PROJECT BUDGET

COSTS SHOULD BE BUDGETED FOR FIRST YEAR ONLY. REVENUE SHOULD BE PROJECTED FOR THREE YEARS.

Grant Number
CAR00-05

(A) PROJECT BUDGET SUMMARY - Fill in all application spaces. Total ADEQ Grant Funds Requested and Total Project Costs must match the figures shown on page one (1) of the application. Be sure to total grant funds requested, matching resources, and total costs. Providing matching resources is not mandatory to be considered for a grant but is a measure of cost effectiveness of your application.

(E) Project Budget and Summary	ADEQ Grant Funds Requested	Matching Resources	Total Gosts
1. Personnel Services	22,487.00		22,487.00
2. Professional Services			
3. Capital Outlay			
4. Services and Supplies	560.00		560.00
5. Total ADEQ Grant Funds Requested (Transfer to Page 1)	23,047.00		
6. Total Matching Resources Committed to the Project			
TOTAL PROJECT COST (Transfer to Page 1)			23,047.00

(B) REVENUE TO CONTINUE PROGRAM - List all revenue sources, present or future, to maintain the project beyond the grant. This section must be completed.

Grant Funds (specify type)			
ADEQ	23,047.00	23,047.00	23,047.00
Landfill Tipping Fees			
Local Sales Tax			
Mandatory User Fees			
Sale of Recycled Material			
Solid Waste Assessment			
Other (specify)			
TOTAL REVENUE	23,047	23,047	23,047

CAR00-05

APPENDIX B - MINIMUM CONDITIONS OF RECYCLING GRANTS

The following items are not eligible for funding from recycling grants:

Taxes

Reimbursement of funds

Retroactive purchases

Legal fees

Licenses or permits

Land acquisition

Vehicle registration

Utilities including telephone

Office equipment

All grants are subject to the requirements of A.C.A §8-6-601 et. seq. and Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Regulation 11, Chapter Five: Solid Waste Management and Recycling Grants Program. Highlights include:

Grant Recipients agree to:

- > Conform to all state laws on the purchase, use or sale of equipment and facilities secured with grant funds.
- > Have design plans for construction of permanent facilities with an estimated cost of \$50,000 or greater approved by a professional engineer unless otherwise approved by ADEQ.
- > Seek competitive bids or requests for proposals (RFP) for purchase of equipment or services with an estimated cost of \$10,000 or greater. This should be done through an advertisement in an area newspaper of general circulation.
- > Start grant project before pre-application deadline for the next grant round. Delays must be approved in writing by both the RSWMD and ADEQ.
- > Develop the recycling program presented in the application and expend all grant funds within three years. Extensions must be approved in writing by both the RSWMD and ADEQ.
- > Actively seek to market or reuse materials collected in three years.
- > Use any interest earned on grant funds exclusively for this or other recycling programs.
- Maintain an orderly accounting system to document that grant expenditures are made in accordance with project budget. This includes keeping copies of all bids, paid invoices, canceled checks, and other appropriate paperwork.
- > Report to the RSWMD for five or more years as directed by ADEQ &the board.

RSWMD Boards agree to:

- > Establish or designate at least one adequate recyclable materials collection center in each county.
- > Ensure that all applicable federal, state and local permits and licenses have been obtained; the project has begun; and adequate revenue sources for continuation of the program are in place before disbursing grants funds.
- > Ensure that recipients conform to all state laws that are applicable to the purchase, use or sale of equipment and facilities secured with state funding.
- > Ensure that facilities or equipment purchased with grant funds be used exclusively for the general purposes specified in the grant application. Note: facilities and equipment purchased with grant funds may not be sold, traded or transferred without the Department's written consent.
- > Require grant recipients to maintain an orderly accounting system to document that expenditures are made in accordance with project budget. This includes keeping copies of all bids, paid invoices, canceled checks and other appropriate paperwork.
- > Review any change order forms submitted. If approved, submit to ADEQ.
- > Review requests for delay of start of grant projects. If approved, submit to ADEQ.
- > Compile and submit annual progress reports to ADEQ by August 1 of each year for a period of five years following the disbursement of funds, or the expenditure of grant funds is complete, whichever comes later.
- > Notify ADEQ in the event a recipient has not met conditions of the approved grant application.

All grants are subject to audit. Department personnel have the right of access to all records pertaining to a grant-funded project or activity.

I hereby certify that I have read and agree to the conditions of grants as stated above and contained in the listed legislation and regulation.

	Causen	
Signature of Applicants Author	rized Representative	
Executive Director Title	501-374-4669 Telephone Number	9/8/05 Date
Signature of RSWMD Board	hairman	
Judge Butch Calhoun Print name		Q-8-05 Date
		Grant Number

CAR00-05

CAR00-05

3.0 Project Description

Central Arkansas Regional Solid Waste Management District will provide administrative services for the 2005 ADEQ Recycling Grant program.

3.1 The projects goals and how the project will be conducted

The Central Arkansas Regional Solid Waste Management District (CARSWMD) will utilize grant funds to provide technical assistance and to carry out the administrative duties required by the grant. It is our goal to improve the quality of life of those who live within the boundaries of the CARSWMD ensure that those communities are in compliance with local, state, and federal regulations.

3.2 What Items are/will be recycled.

We assist the counties in our District to provide recycling to their communities; however, this grant is not intended to directly collect materials.

3.3 Project location and population served by the proposed project.

The Central ARSWMD is comprised of Lonoke, Monroe, Prairie, and Faulkner counties and the cities and communities within their boundaries.

The Demographics are as follows:

County	Location	2000 Population	% of Population by Race	% Below Poverty Level	Median Income
F	Conway	43,167	White 84%, Black 12.1%, Indian .4%, Asian 1.3%, Hispanic 2.3%	Family 9.3% Female Head Household 29.4% Individual 9%	\$37,063
F	Damascus	306	White 97.7%, Black 1%, Indian .03%, Hispanic 1.3%	Family 1.3% Female Head of Household 20% Individual 8%	\$28,977
F	Enola	188	White 999.5%, Hispanic 1.1%	Family 7.2% Female Head of Household 37.5% Individual 11.8%	\$40,139
F	Faulkner County	86,014	White 88.3%, Black 8.5%, Indian 0.5%, Asian, 0.7%, Other 0.7%, Hispanic 1.8%	Family 7.9% Female Head of Household 25.9% Individual 12.5%	\$38,204

F	Greenbrier	3,042	White 97.4%, Black.6\$, Indian .5%, Asian .1%	Family 7.2% Female Head of Household 15.5% Individual 9.1%	\$37,351
	Crocinginor	0,042	White 96%, Black .5%	Family 10.2 Female Head of Household 40%	\$35,625
F	Guy	202		Individual 14%	
F	Holland	577	White 96%, Indian 1.4%, Hawaiian .2%, Asian.1%, Hispanic .7%	Family 7% Fernale Head of Household 23.5% Individual 8.6%	\$32,368
			White 95.2%, Black 3.4%, Indian.3%, Asian .1%,	Family 7% Female Head of Household 23.5% Individual 8.6%	\$35,469
F	Mayflower	1,631	Hispanic .7% White 98.6%,	Individual 4.9%	#26 406
F	Mount Vernon	144	Hispanic 1.4%		\$26,406
F	Twin Groves	276	White 26.8%, Black 72.1%, Hispanic .4%	Individuals 3.3%	\$34,375
F	Vilonia	2,106	White 99%, Black .2%, Indian 1.%, Asian .2%, Hispanic 1.3%	Families 6.1% Female Head of Household 18.2% Individuals 7.6%	\$45,147
F	Wooster	516	White 97.3%, Black .2%, Indian .8%, Hispanic 1.0% Asian .6%	Families 4.5% Female Head of Household 18.2% Individuals 90%	\$35,062
	Manager In the Manager State of the State of		ASIGN .076		
2. 15.4. 2. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16					
	TOTALS	138,188	0/ -6	W Balani	Madian Income
			% of Population	% Below Poverty Level	Median Income
County		2000	Population	% Below Poverty Level	Median Income
County					Median Income
County	Location	2000 Population	Population	Families 35.7% Fernale Head of Household 28.6%	Median Income \$17,500
County L		2000	Population by Race White 5.5% Black 94.5% White 96.2% Black 0.2% Indian 0.2%	Families 35.7% Female Head of Household 28.6% Individuals 32.5% Families 3.6% Female Head of Household 27.3%	
County L	Location Allport Austin	2000 Population 127 605	Population by Race White 5.5% Black 94.5% White 96.2% Black 0.2% Indian 0.2% Hispanic 5.6% White 96.6% Black 0.3% Indian 0.4% Asian 0.9%	Families 35.7% Fernale Head of Household 28.6% Individuals 32.5% Families 3.6% Female Head of	\$17,500
County L	Allport Austin Cabot	2000 Population 127 605	Population by Race White 5.5% Black 94.5% White 96.2% Black 0.2% Indian 0.2% Hispanic 5.6% White 96.6% Black 0.3% Indian 0.4% Asian 0.9% Hispanic 1.9% White 86.3% Black 12.5% Indian 0.5% Asian 0.2%	Families 35.7% Female Head of Household 28.6% Individuals 32.5% Families 3.6% Female Head of Household 27.3% Individuals 6.3% Families 5.6% Female Head of Household 31.6%	\$17,500 \$44,063
County L L	Location Allport Austin	2000 Population 127 605	Population by Race White 5.5% Black 94.5% White 96.2% Black 0.2% Indian 0.2% Hispanic 5.6% White 96.6% Black 0.3% Indian 0.4% Asian 0.9% Hispanic 1.9% White 86.3% Black 12.5% Indian 0.5% Asian 0.2% Hispanic 0.6% White 86.2% Black 13.8%	Families 35.7% Fernale Head of Household 28.6% Individuals 32.5% Families 3.6% Female Head of Household 27.3% Individuals 6.3% Families 5.6% Female Head of Household 31.6% Individuals 7.1% Families 10.5% Female Head of Household 22.4% Individuals 15.5% Families 3.7% Female Head of	\$17,500 \$44,063 \$49,389
County L L	Allport Austin Cabot	2000 Population 127 605	Population by Race White 5.5% Black 94.5% White 96.2% Black 0.2% Indian 0.2% Hispanic 5.6% White 96.6% Black 0.3% Indian 0.4% Asian 0.9% Hispanic 1.9% White 86.3% Black 12.5% Indian 0.5% Asian 0.2% Hispanic 0.6% White 86.2%	Families 35.7% Fernale Head of Household 28.6% Individuals 32.5% Families 3.6% Female Head of Household 27.3% Individuals 6.3% Families 5.6% Female Head of Household 31.6% Individuals 7.1% Families 10.5% Female Head of Household 22.4% Individuals 15.5% Families 3.7% Female Head of Household 50.0%	\$17,500 \$44,063 \$49,389 \$30,086
County L L	Allport Austin Cabot Carlisle	2000 Population 127 605 15,261	Population by Race White 5.5% Black 94.5% White 96.2% Black 0.2% Indian 0.2% Hispanic 5.6% White 96.6% Black 0.3% Indian 0.4% Asian 0.9% Hispanic 1.9% White 86.3% Black 12.5% Indian 0.5% Asian 0.2% Hispanic 0.6% White 86.2% Black 13.8%	Families 35.7% Fernale Head of Household 28.6% Individuals 32.5% Families 3.6% Female Head of Household 27.3% Individuals 6.3% Families 5.6% Female Head of Household 31.6% Individuals 7.1% Families 10.5% Female Head of Household 22.4% Individuals 15.5% Families 3.7% Female Head of	\$17,500 \$44,063 \$49,389 \$30,086

White 85.7% Families 12.2% Families 12.3% Families 13.3% Families 23.3% Familie				110 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		4-1
L Humnoke 280 Hispanic 29% Household 25.0% Holdidulas 20.3% black 23.4% Hispanic 17% Black 23.4% Hispanic 17% Black 23.4% Hispanic 17% Black 23.4% Hispanic 17% Household 31.7% Individuals 18.3% Families 11.9% Female Head of Household 31.7% Household 31.7% Individuals 16.5% Hispanic 1.8% Hispanic 1.8% Hispanic 1.8% Hispanic 1.8% Families 21.8% S24,821 White 91.0% Black 6.4% Hispanic 1.7% Individuals 10.5% Hispanic 1.9% Hispanic 1.9% Female Head of Household 27.8% Individuals 10.5% Hispanic 1.9% Hispanic 2.9% Hispani						\$21,528
L Humnoke 280					1	
L Keo 235 Sharp Families 10.3% Female Head of Household \$3.0% Sharp Shar	l l	Humnoke	280			
L Keo 235 Black 23.4% Female Head of Household 50.0% Individuals 18.3% Salina 0.1% Household 31.7% Individuals 18.3% Female Head of Household 31.7% Individuals 16.5% Salina 0.3% Individuals 26.5% Individuals 26.5	_	- I Idillioko				\$40.2E0
L Keo 235 Hispanic 1.7% Household 50.0% Individuals 23.9% Individuals 23.9% Individuals 21.5% Individuals 23.9% Individuals 21.5% Individuals 23.5% Individu						\$40,250
L Keo 235						
L Lonoke City 4,287 Black 23.4% Indian 0.8% Asian 0.3% Hispanic 1.8% Household 31.7% Individuals 15.0% S40,314 Individuals 15.0% S40,314 Individuals 15.0% Individuals 10.5% Individuals 20.9% Ind	L	Keo	235	Thopanic 1.7 &		
L Lonoke City 4,287 Black 23.4% Female Head of Household 31.7% Hispanic 1.9% His				White 73.3%		\$31 558
L Lonoke City 4,287	}					Ψ01,550
L Lonoke City 4,287 Hispanic 1.8% Unite 91.0% Black 6.4% Individuals 27.9% Individuals 27.9% Individuals 28.9% Individua					Household 31.7%	
Lonoke Lonoke County S2,828 Black 64,9% Eamiles 8.1% S40,314 Eamiles Lead of Household 27.6% Emailes 10.5% S24,821 Eamiles 10.5% Emailes 10.	l.			Asian 0.3%	Individuals 15,0%	
Lonoke County 52,828 Black 6.4% Household 27.6% Househol	L	Lonoke City	4,287	Hispanic 1.8%		
Lonoke County 52,828 Black 6.4% Household 27.6% Househol				White 91.0%	Families 8.1%	\$40,314
L County 52,828 Hispanic 1.7% Individuals 10.5% S24,821		Lonoka				
L Scott 94 Black 34.0% \$24,821		I	50.000			
L Scott 94 Black 34.0% White 97.3% Black 0.2% Indian 0.8% Asian 0.4% Hispanic 1.9%	<u>L</u>	County	52,828		Individuals 10.5%	
White 97.3% Black 0.2% Families 13.6% Families 13.6% Families 13.6% Families 13.6% Families 13.6% Families 13.6% Families 13.8% Families 23.8% Families 20.9% Individuals 30.9% Individuals 30.9% Individuals 30.9% Families 20.8% Families 20.9% Fa		0	0.4			\$24,821
Black 0.2%	<u>L</u>	Scott	94			
Indian 0.8%						\$32,924
L Ward 2,580 Asian 0.4% Hispanic 1.9% Hispanic 1.9% Families 23.8% Female Head of Household 46.3% Individuals 30.9% Hispanic 1.1% Household 43.9% Hispanic 2.3% Household 43.4% Hispanic 2.3% Hispanic 2.3% Hispanic 2.3% Household 43.4% Hispanic 2.3% Household 30.0% Household 30.0% Household 30.0% Household 30.0% Household 42.6% Hispanic 1.1% Household 43.3% Household 42.6% Hispanic 1.3% Hispanic 1.3% Hispanic 1.3% Hispanic 2.1.0%						
TOTALS S1.689 White 49.1% Families 23.8% Female Head of Indian 0.2% Hawaiian 0.1%						
Monroe M	lt.	Ward	2 580		Individuals 16.5%	
White 49.1% Black 48.6% Female Head of Indian 0.2% Asian 0.2% Individuals 30.9% Individuals 28.9% Individuals 28.9% Individuals 28.9% Individuals 28.9% Individuals 28.9% Individuals 30.0% Individuals 30	_			nispanic 1.9%		
Black 48,6% Female Head of Household 46.3% Individuals 30.9% Hawaiian 0.1% Other 0.3% Hispanio 1.1% Mrite 68.5% Black 30.2% Female Head of Household 43.4% Individuals 28.9% Hispanio 2.3% Hispanio 2.	- 1	TOTALS	81,689			
Black 48,6% Female Head of Household 46.3% Individuals 30.9% Hawaiian 0.1% Other 0.3% Hispanio 1.1% Mrite 68.5% Black 30.2% Female Head of Household 43.4% Individuals 28.9% Hispanio 2.3% Hispanio 2.				White 49.1%	Families 23.8%	\$19.868
Asian 0.2% Hawailan 0.1% Other 0.3% Hispanic 1.1%				Black 48.6%	Female Head of	V.0,000
Hawailan 0.1% Other 0.3% Hispanic 1.1% Hawailan 0.1% Other 0.3% Hispanic 1.1% Hawailan 0.1% Hispanic 1.1% Hawailan 0.1% Hispanic 1.2% Hispanic 2.3% Hispanic 2.10% Household 43.3% Hispanic 2.10% Household 43.3% Holdviduals 27.5% Household 45.3% Holdviduals 27.5% Holdviduals 27.0% Holdviduals 27.0				Indian 0.2%	Household 46.3%	
M				Asian 0.2%	Individuals 30.9%	
M				Hawaiian 0.1%		
White 68.5% Black 30.2% Female Head of Household 34.3% Individuals 28.9% Female Head of Household 30.0% Individuals 28.9% Female Head of Household 30.0% Individuals 32.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.0%		Deimlelou	2.040			
Clarendon	IVI	Brinkley	3,940	_		
Clarendon						\$22,927
Clarendon						
Clarendon						
M Fargo 118 Families 26.5% Female Head of Household 30.0% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 42.6% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 29.0% Individuals 20.0% Individuals 20.0% Individuals 27.0% Individu	ı	Clarendon	1 960		Individuals 28.9%	
M	<u>-</u>	Oldicildon	1,300		Familias OC FO	004.075
M						\$24,375
M Fargo 118 Individuals 30.0% Start 26.9% Families 37.7% Familee and of Household 64.3% Individuals 42.6% Familee 21.0% Familee 21.0% Start 22.632 Familee 21.0% Familee 21.0% Familee 21.0% Start 22.632 Start 22.63				DIACK 45.070		
M Holly Grove 722 Hispanic 0.1% Families 37.7% Female Head of Household 64.3% Individuals 42.6% White 59.4% Black 38.8% Individuals 42.6% Female Head of Household 45.3% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.5% Hispanic 1.3% White 91.9% Black 7.3% Families 6.7% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 9.0% Families 6.7% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Individuals 9.0% Families 6.7% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Families 6.7% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Individuals 20.0% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Individuals 20.0% Individual	М	Fargo	118			
M Holly Grove 722		,ge		M/hite 26 0%		¢15 204
M Holly Grove 722 Hispanic 0.1% Household 64.3% Individuals 42.6% White 59.4% Black 38.8% Female Head of Household 45.3% Individuals 27.5% Hispanic 1.3% White 91.9% Black 7.3% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Black 14.8% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Other 0.6% Indian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% Individuals 20.0					1	\$15,294
M						
Monroe Mo	M	Holly Grove	722	I		
Monroe M		, ,				\$22 632
Monroe County 10,254 Indian 0.3% Asian 0.1% Individuals 27.5% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.5% Individual						Ψ L L,00 L
M County 10,254 Hispanic 1.3% Hidividuals 27.3% Hispanic 1.3% White 91.9% Black 7.3% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Pemale Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Hispanic 1.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 27.0% Individuals 20.0% Individuals 27.0% Individuals		Monroe				
Mile 91.9% Black 7.3% Families 6.7% Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% Families 23.4% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Femilies 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% Femilies 10.4% Femilies 10.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Femilies 10.4% Femilies 10.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Femilies 10.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Femilies 10.4% Femilies 10.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Femilies 10.4% Femilies			46.55:		Individuals 27.5%	
M Roe 124 Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% TOTALS 17,594 White 41.4% Families 23.4% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Black 14.8% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Female Head of Household 36.4% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3%	M	County	10,254	Hispanic 1.3%		
M Roe 124 Female Head of Household 100% Individuals 9.0% TOTALS 17.594 White 41.4% Black 58.2% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% P Des Arc 1,933 Hispanic 1.3%						\$37,750
M Roe 124 Individuals 9.0% TOTALS 17,594 White 41.4% Families 23.4% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% P Des Arc 1,933 Historic 1.3%				Black 7.3%		,
Main	NA.	Bos	404			
Mhite 41.4% Families 23.4% \$21,406 Black 58.2% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% P Des Arc 1,933 Hispanic 1.3% Femilies 10.4%	IVI	rue -	124	PRINCE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR AND	Individuals 9.0%	The state of the s
Mhite 41.4% Families 23.4% \$21,406 Black 58.2% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% P Des Arc 1,933 Hispanic 1.3% Femilies 10.4%		TOTALS	17.594			
M Biscoe 476 Black 58.2% Female Head of Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Black 14.8% Female Head of Household 36.4% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Asian 0.3% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3% P Des Arc 1,933 Black 58.2% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Althorac 7.00 Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% Black 58.2% Female Head of Household 47.5% \$23,750		non-material description of the second of th	a save at a save at safety for	White 41 494	Families 23 404	¢24 406
M Biscoe 476 Household 47.5% Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% P Des Arc 1,933 Hispanic 1.3%						φ∠ 1, 4 00
M Biscoe 476 Individuals 27.0% White 83.0% Families 16.3% Female Head of Household 36.4% Individuals 20.0% P Des Arc 1,933 Hispanie 1.3%				5.45K 00.2 K		
White 83.0% Families 16.3% \$23,750 Black 14.8% Female Head of Household 36.4% Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3%	M	Biscoe	476			
Black 14.8% Female Head of				White 83 0%		\$23.750
Indian 0.3%						φ 2 3,730
Asian 0.3% Individuals 20.0% Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3%						
P Des Arc 1,933 Other 0.6% Hispanic 1.3%						
P Des Arc 1,933 Hispanic 1.3%	_	_				
NATION 57 000 F-1111 - 40 400 A 50 4 50 4	٢	Des Arc	1,933	I		
- I MANAGARIA -	Р	DeValls Bluff	783		Families 18.4%	\$21,534

	SW District	251,549			
	TOTALS	14,097			
P	Ulm	205	White 93.2% Black 3.9% Other 1.0% Hispanic 1.0%	Families 4.4% Female Head of Household 20.0% Individuals 5.2%	\$31,458
P	Prairie County	9,539	White 84.8% Black 13.7% Indian 0.4% Asian 0.2% Other 0.3% Hispanic 0.8%	Families 12.2% Female Head of Household 35.2% Individuals 15.5%	\$29,990
P	Hazen		Hispanic 0.1% White 80.6% Black 18.4% Indian 0.5% Hispanic 0.8%	Individuals 23.6% Families 13.1% Female Head of Household 37.8% Individuals 13.8%	\$29,800
			Black 31.8% Asian 0.1%	Female Head of Household 50.0%	

3.4 The days and hours of operation.

The office is open from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm Monday through Friday.